1	BARRY J. PORTMAN
2	Federal Public Defender LARA S. VINNARD
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753
5	Counsel for Defendant MARCO BARBOSA
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: CR 09-00717 JF
13	Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
14	V. HEARING AND EXCLUDE TIME; [PROPOSED] ORDER
15	MARCO BARBOSA; MARIA BARBOSA, Honorable Jeremy Fogel
16	Defendants.
17	/
18	
19	Defendants Marco and Maria Barbosa and the government, through their respective counsel,
20	hereby stipulate that, subject to the Court's approval, the pretrial motions hearing in the above-
21	captioned matter, presently scheduled for Thursday, February 10, 2011 at 9:00 a.m., be continued to
22	Thursday, March 10, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. The continuance is requested because the defense has
23	submitted additional discovery requests to the government, and the government requires additional
24	time to respond. Accordingly, additional time is required for the defense to investigate and evaluate
25	potential motions. Additionally, counsel for the government and counsel for Mrs. Barbosa will be
26	
	STIP. TO CONTINUE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 09-00717 JF 1

engaged in trial in another matter beginning January 24, 2011. Accordingly, the delay is requested for continuity of counsel.

The parties further agree that time should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The parties therefore stipulate that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

|PROPOSED| ORDER

The parties have jointly requested a continuance of the hearing set for Thursday, February 10, 2011, to allow additional time for the government to respond to additional discovery requests, and for continuity of counsel. GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date presently set for Thursday, February 10, 2011 at 9:00 a.m., be continued to Thursday, March 10, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.

Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from February 10 to March 10, 2011. The Court finds, based on the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the parties continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel

Case 5:09-cr-00717-RMW Document 56 Filed 01/28/11 Page 3 of 3

1	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence,
2	and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of
3	time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).
4	Dated: 1/24/11 JEREMY FOGEL
5	United States District Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	STIP. TO CONTINUE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 09-00717 JF 3